UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v
ROBERT ZIMMERMAN,	- A : :
Plaintiff,	: : 17-CV-4503 (JMF)
-V-	: : <u>ORDER</u> :
UBS AG; UBS GROUP AG; UBS SECURITIES, LLC; UBS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.; UBS AMERICAS HOLDING LLC; CHARLES SCHWAB & CO., INC.; ERNST & YOUNG LLP; CHARLES SCHWAB; WALT BETTINGER; AXEL WEBER; MICHEL DEMARE; TOM NARITIL; MARKUS DIETHELM; SERGIO ERMOTTI; WILLIAM PARRETT; ANN GODBEHER; ISABELLE ROMY; BEATRICE WEDER DI MAURO; RETO FRANCIONI; ROBERT MCCANN; MARIE-LAURE DELAURE; TROY BUTNER,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Defendants.	: : : X

JESSE M. FURMAN, United States District Judge:

The Court has received the attached communication from Plaintiff Robert Zimmerman, proceeding *pro se*, disputing the Court's two orders denying him leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. See ECF No. 101, 116. The decision — from August 24, 2018 — still stands, and any further requests from Mr. Zimmerman to reconsider this issue will be similarly denied.

The Court will mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 14, 2020

New York, New York

JESSE M. FURMAN United States District Judge March 18, 2020

Honorable Jesse M. Furman
United States District Court Judge in the Southern District of New York

Re: Robert Zimmerman v. UBS Ag, et al, No, 17-CV-4503-JMF

Dear Judge Furman:

Especially in New York, courts, *in forma pauperis* relief is available to the poor in civil proceedings through express provisions of statute or through court-developed extensions of the common law. See N.Y. Crv. PRAC. LAW § 1102(a)-(d) (McKinney Supp. 1969).

Counsels for the enormously wealthy and well-represented defendants sole argument for objecting to plaintiff's request to proceed *in former pauperis* is that plaintiff's request for such status is not in "good faith," when in fact the only bad faith is their objection.

Counsels for defendants have not in their March 13, 2020 letter to this Court, nor in their any of their arguments in this Court nor in their fully-briefed arguments in the Court of Appeals, have ever argued that plaintiff's claims are in any way frivolous or in bad faith, or sought to dismiss plaintiff's claims as frivolous or in bad faith in either in this Court of the Court of Appeals.

Moreover, if this Court had considered plaintiff's claims frivolous, or in bad faith, it would have so ruled at the outset of this case and not granted plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint and file his now fully-briefed Appeal that addresses important matters of law.

Plaintiff has already, under financial duress, paid fees and other costs to proceed in this Court and the Court of Appeals, which have amounted to over \$2,000. Recently plaintiff's 2008 car broke down and repairs left him with less than \$300 in his financial accounts.

Moreover, a fully-briefed Court of Appeals also has not dismissed plaintiff's claims as frivolous, finding only that plaintiff should seek an Order of Final Judgement from this Court, which this Court graciously granted.

If a 79-year-old pro se veteran/plaintiff with multiple infirmities whose retirement savings were all but wiped out by the unlawful actions of the defendants and is now pursuing a modest existence on a \$1005 monthly Social Security allotment and food stamps does not qualify to proceed *in forma pauperis*, so be it.

Respectfully submitted by U.S. mail to the Court and counsels for defendants on March 18, 2020 by"

ert Zimmerman

Robert Zimmerman, 329 Sandpiper Lane, Hampstead, NC 28443 Tel: 910-228-3610, Email: BobZimmerman@usa.com.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that on March 18, 2020, Robert Zimmerman served a copy of the above to the following counsels for defendants in this action.

David Goldberg, Katten Law, 575 Madison Ave., N.Y., N.Y. 10022 Richard Marooney, King & Spalding, 1185 Ave., of Americas, N.Y., N.Y. 10036

Dated March 18, 2020 and signed below by Robert Zimmerman

Robert Zimmerman

